Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587,460 GUILLOU ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BRETT SQUIRES 2431 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17.19.20 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17, 19-20, and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/587,460

Art Unit: 2431

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of materia, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-17, 19-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 based on Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions because the claimed process is not (1) tied to another statutory class (a particular machine or apparatus) or (2) transforms underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. See In re Bilski et al, 88 USPQ 2d 1385 CAFC (2008); Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876)

To qualify as a § 101 statutory process, the claim should positively recite the particular machine to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state. The nominal recitation of computer apparatus in the preamble does not convert an otherwise ineligible method into a statutory process, since creation of intangible mathematical expressions does not constitute transformation of subject matter. See Exparte Langemyr 89 USPQ2d 1988.

Claim 1 nominally recites "a keyholder," in the preamble followed by a series of method steps for generating public keys. The method steps are directed to mathematical manipulations that can be performed by any machine that calculates and embody nothing more than abstract ideas. Further, the keyholder can be reasonably

Application/Control Number: 10/587,460

Art Unit: 2431

interpreted to encompass a person mentally performing the mathematical calculations for generating public keys or a person manually performing the mathematical calculations for generation public keys using a pen and paper. Therefore, the process claimed by independent claim 1 is not tied to another statutory class and does not transform underlying subject matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. If claims 1-17, 19-20, and 22 are amended to overcome the above stated rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101, the claims would be allowed over the prior art of record. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the most relevant reference Guillou L. C. et al. "Cryptographic Authentication Protocols for Smart Cards," discloses GQ2 asymmetric authentication based on integer factorization (See page 445 col. 2 through page 448 col. 2). The GQ2 asymmetric authentication has a modulus n used for an RSA signature with v, where v is determined by v=2^k with k=>2. The GQ2 asymmetric authentication does not disclose using v=2^{b+k} where k is a strictly positive integer and b=max(b1,...,bf), where bj (where j=1,...f) is the highest integer such that (bi-11/2^{bj-1} is even.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2431

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRETT SQUIRES whose telephone number is (571) 272-8021. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am - 6:00pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BS/

/Avaz R. Sheikh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431